Level: All Levels

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year***)

School: ODYSSEY CHARTER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 6479

Performance Plan

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible*	
Meets	71.9%	(18 out of 25 points)	
Meets	79.2%	(39.6 out of 50 points)	
Meets	76.4%	(19.1 out of 25 points)	
95% Participation Rate Met			
	76.7%	(76.7 out of 100 points)	
	Meets	Meets 71.9% Meets 79.2% Meets 76.4% 95% Participation Rate Met	Meets 71.9% (18 out of 25 points) Meets 79.2% (39.6 out of 50 points) Meets 76.4% (19.1 out of 25 points) 95% Participation Rate Met

^{*} Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

What do the performance indicators measure?

Academic Achievement

The Achievement Indicator reflects how a school's students are doing at meeting the state's proficiency goal: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This Indicator includes results from CSAP and CSAPA (Reading, Writing, Math and Science), and Lectura and Escritura.

Academic Growth

The Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar CSAP score history in that subject area, and 2) adequate growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in this school to reach an achievement level of proficient or advanced on the CSAP within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first.

Academic Growth Gaps

The Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student subgroups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student subgroups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The subgroups include students eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch, minority students, students with disabilities (IEP status), English Language Learners, and students needing to catch up.





Final plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report.

^{**} Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools that do not meet the 95% participation rate in two or more subject areas are assigned a plan type one category lower than their points indicate.

Performance Indicators Level: Elementary School

School: ODYSSEY CHARTER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

(3 Year***)

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	223	75.8%	60	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	222	74.3%	60	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	223	50.2%	40	
Science	2	4		Approaching	74	44.6%	48	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	141	46	24	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	140	43	49	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	141	44	40	Yes
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				

		5	0/ 5		Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	12	75.0%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	39	46	35	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	55	46	32	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	26	55	45	Yes
Mathematics	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	39	36	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	54	49	57	No
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	27	58	75	No
Writing	6	12	50.0%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	39	42	44	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	55	42	41	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	70	48	53	No
Total	21	36	58.3%	Approaching				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	223	223	
Mathematics	99.6%	95% Participation Rate Met	222	223	
Writing	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	223	223	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	74	74	

Level: Middle School (3 Year***) **Performance Indicators**

School: ODYS	SSEY CHARTER I	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	_
--------------	----------------	-------------------	---

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	218	89.9%	93	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	218	68.8%	83	
Writing	3	4		Meets	218	78.4%	88	
Science	3	4		Meets	68	63.2%	78	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				

								Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	215	61	18	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	215	73	61	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	215	72	42	Yes
Total	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				

			·		Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	,	Meets	52	54	27	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	105	60	21	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	29	65	53	Yes
Mathematics	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	52	64	73	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	105	70	67	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	67	75	85	No
Writing	12	12	100.0%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	,	Exceeds	52	67	47	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	105	74	43	Yes
Students w/ Disabilities	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
English Language Learners	0	0		N/A	<20 students	N/A	N/A	
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	54	78	71	Yes
Total	34	36	94.4%	Exceeds				

Test Participation	% of Students Tested	Rating	Students Tested	Total Students	
Reading	99.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	218	219	
Mathematics	99.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	218	219	
Writing	99.5%	95% Participation Rate Met	218	219	
Science	100.0%	95% Participation Rate Met	68	68	

Scoring Guide Level: All Levels

rformance Indicat	rformance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report or Scoring Guide		Rating	Point Value	Total Possible	Framework Poin				
,	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:									
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools.		Exceeds	4	16					
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools.		Meets	3	(4 for each	25				
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools.	Approaching	2	content area)						
	below the 15th percentile of all schools.	Does Not Mee	t 1							
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth gro	percentile was:	•	•						
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4						
	below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3						
	below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	12					
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Mee	t 1	(4 for each	50				
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student		•	content area)						
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4							
	below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3							
	below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2							
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1						
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its student grow	th percentile was:		•						
	• at or above 60.		Exceeds	4						
	• below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3						
	• below 45 but at or above 30.		Approaching	2	60					
Academic	• below 30.		Does Not Mee	t 1	(5 for each subgroup					
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its stude	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its student growth percentile was:								
	• at or above 70.		Exceeds	4	areas)					
	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3						
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2							
	• below 40.		Does Not Mee	t 1						
Points for each	performance indicator Cut-Point	s for plan type assignment								
Cu	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.	Cut Point: The scho	ool earned of	the total Fra	mework points eligil	ble.				
chievement;	• at or above 87.5% Exceeds	• at or above 59%	6	<u> </u>		Performance				
			/ I I =00/							

Cut-Points for each performance indicator							
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.							
• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds						
• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets						
• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching						
• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet						
	Out Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th at or above 87.5% at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%						

Cut-Points for plan type assignment							
	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.						
	• at or above 59%	Performance					
Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement					
Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement					
	• below 37%	Turnaround					

School plan type assignments							
	Plan description						
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.						
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.						
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.						
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.						

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Comparison Data

Academic Achievement

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2010)

	Reading Ma			Math	n Writing				Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.2	50.4	54.9	48.6	29.7	16.0	32.5	35.0	31.0	19.7	23.8	27.5
50th percentile	71.6	71.4	73.3	70.9	52.5	33.5	53.5	57.8	50.0	47.5	48.0	50.0
90th percentile	89.1	88.2	87.2	89.3	75.0	54.8	76.8	79.7	72.2	76.0	75.1	72.4

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10)

							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.0	50.6	53.3	48.7	29.7	13.5	32.6	36.8	30.0	20.5	25.0	27.9
50th percentile	72.0	71.4	72.2	70.1	51.6	30.5	54.8	58.3	49.6	45.4	48.7	50.0
90th percentile	88.2	87.4	86.2	87.5	74.4	52.2	76.5	79.2	71.0	72.6	71.3	71.5

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

Decision tree to determine which scoring guide to use for Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps



Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate

	N of Students	Mean Dropout Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) Colorado ACT Composite Score

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

1-year vs. 3-year report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count.

Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) is the one that will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available. The years of data included in a report are indicated on page 1.